

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/667,248		ADAM, MICHAEL	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Mary Hoffman		3731	

All Participants:

(1) Mary Hoffman.

(2) Thomas Daly.

Date of Interview: 29 September 2005

Status of Application: _____

(3) Eduardo Robert.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:00pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


A provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Species C, Figs. 8-12, claims 1 and 10-17.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


EDUARDO C. ROBERT
PRIMARY EXAMINER



 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)